Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/597,525	KEENE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	WILLIAM MCCALISTER	3753	
I Participants: Status of Application: 71 (amended after non-final)			
(1) WILLIAM MCCALISTER.	(3)		
(2) <u>TIMOTHY COLLINS</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 12 July 2011	Time: <u>12 NOON</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: As set forth in the rejection attached hereto.			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed: US 5,277,224 and US 4,711,268			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance
/WILLIAM MCCALISTER/ Examiner, Art Unit 3753 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A series of telephone conversations were held over the course of 7/12/11 to 8/2/11, during which the proposed rejection of claim 1 was discussed (as set forth in the attached rejection), Mr. Collins gave consideration to the proposed rejection, Mr. Collins suggested claim amendments, and the examiner considered the suggested claim amendments. However, no language could be agreed upon to put the application in condition for allowance.